

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 25-cv-20973-DSL

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

CLICK PROFIT, LLC *et al.*,

Defendants.

**RECEIVER MARIA M. YIP'S SECOND
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND RECEIVERSHIP**

Maria M. Yip (the “Receiver”), as court-appointed receiver for Click Profit, LLC, M23 Holdings, LLC, SA Automation Enterprise LLC, Click Profit Distribution, LLC, Automation Industries LLC, M7 Investments LLC, Express Ecom LLC, and Ecom Direct LLC (the “Receivership Entities”), moves pursuant to the Court’s Final Judgment¹ for an order extending the receivership in this case, together with the Receiver’s authority and duties, for six months, through and including October 23, 2026. In support thereof, the Receiver states as follows:

1. The Final Judgment fully resolved the claims brought by the Federal Trade Commission (the “FTC”) against the various Individual Defendants and Corporate Defendants in

¹ “Final Judgment” or “FJ” refers to the Court’s August 26, 2025, Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment as to Defendants William Holton and Ecom Direct LLC [ECF 148], Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment as to Defendants Jason Masri, Automation Industries LLC, and Click Profit Distribution, LLC [ECF 149], and Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment as to Defendants Click Profit, LLC, M23 Holdings, LLC, SA Automation Enterprise LLC, M7 Investments LLC, Express Ecom LLC, Craig Emslie, and Patrick McGeoghean [ECF 151], which, for purposes of this Motion, contain substantively identical provisions. Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms shall have the same meaning set forth in the Final Judgment.

this action. It also continued the receivership established by the prior Temporary Restraining Order [ECF 15] and Preliminary Injunctions [ECF 81, 83, 85] and instructed the Receiver to take possession of and liquidate various assets from the Individual Defendants. *See* FJ § VII.

2. The Final Judgment set a 120-day deadline for termination of the receivership, subject to extensions by the Court for good cause. *See* FJ § VIII.

3. On January 6, 2026, the Court granted the Receiver's first request for an extension of the receivership to April 23, 2026. [ECF 160]. The Receiver sought the extension for two reasons: (1) due to market conditions and despite her best efforts, she had been unable to sell three of the five real properties conveyed to her under the Final Judgment and (2) she intended to send demand letters based on apparent fraudulent transfers that she discovered through her forensic accounting. [ECF 157 ¶¶ 12-13].

4. The Receiver is pleased to report that she has now sold all assets that were conveyed to her under the Final Judgment. This includes the real property, vehicles, and luxury watches identified in previous reports and submissions to the Court. She is presently holding \$1,479,297.49 in her receivership bank account. The Receiver's sales and other wind-down activities will be detailed in her forthcoming final report. *See* FJ § VIII(A).

5. However, the Receiver's fraudulent transfer claims were not resolved through pre-suit demands, and the Receiver has decided to pursue them. Specifically, the Receiver has brought a lawsuit against Defendant Jason Masri and his parents for over \$1.3 million in fraudulent transfers from Receivership Entities Automation Industries, LLC, and Click Profit Distribution, LLC. The case is pending in this District, *Yip v. Masri et al.*, No. 1:26-cv-22768-DPG (S.D. Fla.) (the "Masri Fraudulent Transfer Action").

6. Despite her desire to wind down the receivership, the Receiver believes it is in the best interests of the receivership estate to pursue these claims, and not effectively waive them through termination of the receivership. The Receiver therefore seeks to extend the receivership termination date to allow her to prosecute the Masri Fraudulent Transfer Action. The Receiver intends to expeditiously prosecute the Masri Fraudulent Transfer Action and is hopeful that an amicable resolution remains possible.

7. Accordingly, the Receiver respectfully moves the Court to extend the receivership termination date by six months, through and including October 23, 2026, to allow her to prosecute the Masri Fraudulent Transfer Action.

8. The Receiver is mindful that prosecuting the Masri Fraudulent Transfer Action to final, non-appealable judgment may not be possible within six months and reserves the right to seek another extension if necessary. The Receiver nonetheless asks for a six-month extension at this juncture because, in her view, the fraudulent transfer claims are ripe for summary judgment and her aggressive prosecution of the claims may yield a speedier resolution.

9. The Receiver makes this request in good faith and not for purposes of delay. The Receiver has conferred with counsel for the FTC, who does not oppose the requested relief.

WHEREFORE, the Receiver respectfully requests entry of an order extending the receivership in this case, together with the Receiver's authority and duties, by six months, through and including October 23, 2026, along with such other relief the Court deems just and proper. A proposed order is attached as **Exhibit A**.

Local Rule 7.1(a)(2) Certification: Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a)(2), I hereby certify that the Receiver conferred with the Federal Trade Commission regarding the relief sought in this Motion. The Federal Trade Commission does not oppose this Motion.

Date: April 22, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

**LEVINE KELLOGG LEHMAN
SCHNEIDER + GROSSMAN LLP**
Miami Tower
100 SE 2nd Street, 36th Floor
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: 305.403.8788
Facsimile: 305.403.8789

By: /s/ Marcelo Diaz-Cortes
Marcelo Diaz-Cortes
Primary: md@lklsg.com
Secondary: tms@lklsg.com
Florida Bar No.: 118166
Counsel for Receiver Maria M. Yip

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the forgoing document was served on April 22, 2026 via the Court's CM/ECF filing system to all recipients registered to receive notices of electronic filings generated by CM/ECF for this case and via U.S. Mail and email to Defendant Jason Masri, pro se, 10493 Jane Eyre Drive, Orlando, FL 32825, jasonftc2025@gmail.com.

By: /s/ Marcelo Diaz-Cortes
Marcelo Diaz-Cortes

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 25-cv-20973-DSL

CLICK PROFIT, LLC et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

ORDER EXTENDING RECEIVERSHIP

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on the Second Unopposed Motion to Extend Receivership [ECF ___] (the “Motion”), filed by Receiver Maria M. Yip. The Court, having reviewed the Motion and finding good cause to extend the receivership in this matter to allow for the Receiver to complete her duties, ORDERS and ADJUDGES as follows:

The Motion is GRANTED. The date for termination of the receivership in this matter, as set forth in the Court’s August 26, 2025, Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment as to Defendants William Holton and Ecom Direct LLC [ECF 148], Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment as to Defendants Jason Masri, Automation Industries LLC, and Click Profit Distribution, LLC [ECF 149], and Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment as to Defendants Click Profit, LLC, M23 Holdings, LLC, SA Automation Enterprise LLC, M7 Investments LLC, Express Ecom LLC, Craig Emslie, and Patrick McGeoghean [ECF 151], is hereby extended to October 23, 2026.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, this ___ day of April, 2026.

HON. DAVID S. LEIBOWITZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE